DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3 0 2 8 NAZIWAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2007

29 May 1963

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

driggest.

22720

SUBJECT: Meeting in Washington with ASCASSCHARY/2 on 16 May 1963 attended by _____ and ____

- 1. Subject meeting was held at the request of A/2 who was enxious to discuss the recent intensified Soviet attacks against the ARCASSOWARY/1 group and his own recent meeting with Yuriy KOSSACH which A/2 felt was instigated by the Soviets. A/2 reported the following information and expressed the following ideas:
- A. There has been an increase in recent weeks of Seviet attacks against the Ukrainian emigration in general, and the A/l group in particular, conducted from both Mescow and Kiev. The attacks consist of public declarations and statements by individual Soviet dignitaries, articles in the Seviet Ukrainian and Russian press, supplemented by a publication of the Berlin Committee for Return to the Hemeland, special peophlets published by the Kiev Association for Cultural Exchanges with Foreign Countries, and direct approaches to A/l individuals.
- B. It appears A/1 activities and publications have given the Communist regime cause to worry. The A/1 presentation of its political and socio-economic program has evidently found a strong response among the Soviet Ukrainian youth in particular. The A/1 group has devoted intense attention to the young literary generation in the Ukraine which has in recent years risen to an important factor in Soviet internal politics. Present attacks against this literary element in the Ukraine draw within its range the Ukrainian emigre literary group abroad which has been explicitly named in the same context.
- C. A/l publications, particularly bulletins directed specifically to Soviet Ukrainians, continuously attacked Russification and the centralization drive in the UkSSR and appealed to Soviet Ukrainian citizens to defend their rights as guaranteed by the Constitution of the UkSSR. This is actually the line along which the present struggle of the Ukrainian people is being waged, as demonstrated in the Soviet press. It seems evident the Soviets have been reading and analyzing A/l publications quite theroughly.
- D. Among the more significant public attacks by the Soviets were the following:
- (1) On 8 April 1963, at a conference of Party functionaries with writers, poets and other representatives of art and culture in Kiev,

Segret

decentile

iileffit

- (3) Attack on A/1 publications by T. MYHAL in the Kiev --- Literaturna Ukraina for 10 May 1963 (p.4).
- (4) In the 4 May 1963 issue of Pravda (p.2) V. MALANCHUK attacked the "remmants of UKrainian bourgeois nationalists" now active in the Western Ukraine.
- (5) Article of V. BELAYEV in Literaturna Geseta, 16 April 1963, directed specifically against A/3.
- (6) Articles of V. NUK and I. Bische in <u>Visti a Ukrainy</u>, No. 20 for (a rehash of old allegations directed against Ukrainian emigres in Munich) <u>Harch 1962 (p.2-3)</u> and No. 22 for Murch 1963 (p.2) (author regrets inaccurate and biased communications in the works of emigre historians.
- E. Simultaneously with the press campaign, direct approaches were made to A/1 personnel obviously to (a) angender an atmosphere of fright and panie by implying that an eventual physical liquidation might be forthcoming; and (b) arrange negotiations a "dialogue" -- with top A/1 people in the United States through the personent Ukrainian Delegation at the United Mations in order to ascertain definitely the persone A/1 policy, and aims is and to eventually find a "common basis" directed at A/1 toward a position more favorable for Soviet political purposes and finally, in the case of their complete failure, to compromise A/1 in the eyes of the whole Ukrainian emigration by disclosing and misinterpreting deliberately A/1 talks with them.
- (1) Along line (a), the Soviets dispatches to West Germany a group of their agents specifically for this purpose. One, Valeri SHEWIRERO, who calinal official status in the foreign ministry of UKSSR in Kiev, (and as such had talks with A/1 personnel during the World Youth Festival in Vienna in 1959), approched on 29 April 1963 in Munich, A/30 the chief editor of the A/1 official megazine. SHAVCHINGO, who admitted that he was visiting Manich in the company of two or three of his friends from Kiev, made it clear to A/30 that he wented to talk with him tete-a-tete. In view of his previous correspondence with A/30 and his attempts to induce A/30 to come to see him at the Youth Festival in Helsinki im 1962, it could be inferred that he was planning to "persuade" A/30 to give up his editorial work, either by promises or threats, or a combination of both. He also might have been planning to suggest that A/30 return to the Ukraine where he would be given an attractive position. SHEVCHERKO's attempt failed, howver, due to the fact that A/30 refused to meet with the former alone and brought along with him another number of the A/l editorial staff.
- (2) Presumable with the same operational plan in mind, the Soviets sent, on 2 May 1963, an unidentified individual (Mr. X) to the A/1 Manich offices, who speaking in fluent German and indicating employment

CLUME!

dighth

Supply of the su

...

ester Service manne months with some intelligence organization, implied he came to warn A/l personnel of the pending physical liquidation of 250 Ukrainian emigree among which were A/l personnel. The source of this information concerning the proposed liquidations was said to be a Soviet officer in Prague, CSR, whom Mr. X said he recently met there. To sustain his "warning", Mr. X, mentioned a few names of alleged Soviet operatives.

- (3) Along the same lines, the A/l office in Manich was visited both in the morning and afternoon, on 7 May 1963 by Volodymyr SEMCHISHYN of Oalo, Morway, who wanted to see A/3. This visit implied, through SEMCHISHYN's emphatic wish to see A/3, an intensified psychological impact of the Soviets on A/l personnel. Among other things, SEMCHISHYN told an A/I Manich employee that he had just made a trip to the Ukraine and after visiting Kiev and Lvov flew from Prague to Zurich on 1 May 1963. His visit may also have been made for the purpose of casing the A/l premises and to ascertain the chances strangers have to meet with A/3. (He did not meet with A/3).
- (4) As to plan (b), the Soviet s initiated a meeting on 1 May 1963 in New York between Turij ROSSACH, A/2 and A/4, during which the former suggested that representatives of the Ukrainian Delegation at the United Nations were interested in a "dislogue" with A/1 personnel and proposed that:
 - (a) A/1 personnel negotiate with representatives of the UkSSR
 - (b) A/1 support the pro-Soviet publication, Za Sinon Chesnom, of which Yurij KOSSACH is editor, with articles and supply an editor for the magazine to replace KOSSACH.
 - (e) A/l organize clubs of Friends of the Ukraine in the United States to develop cultural contacts with Soviet Ukrainians and to maintain contact with the Soviet Ukrainian Delegation at the United Nations.
- F. Details of the personal approaches made, attacks in the press and the meeting between ECSSACH with A/2 are being reported separately.
- 2. V. HELAYEV's book, Granitsa v Ogni (Moscow. 1962) is a direct attack on the Ukrainian Catholic Church and accuses the late Matropolitan Sheptytaky of collaboration with the Germans. This book is not being seld in the UksSR because of the objections raised by Soviet Ukrainians against the film Ivans about which belayev also writes in this book.
- 3. The Soviet objective appears to be to put A/1 out of business. Attacks against Ukrainian swigre publications are almost exclusively against the A/1 journal and its editors. A/2 feels strongly that steps must abe taken to protect A/30 and also to make sure he is sufficiently well paid and well treated so that the opposition cannot buy him. A/30 is one of the most valuable personality assets of A/1 whom the Soviets would Wery much like

STORY

to have on their side, and it would be virtually impossible for A/1 to replace him in the literary field.

- 4. A/2 requested that he be informed if we have any information as to Soviet penetrations of his againstion. _____ promised this would be done.
- 5. In connection with the recent efforts by the Germans to obtain collaboration of Ukrainian gauge and individuals, A/2 mentioned that during Oberlander's visit to New York and Washington recently, he mained to A/4 about 150 copies of an anti-Soviet panghlat with a request that A/4 distribute them to Germans in the United States. A/4 disregarded this request.
- 6. About 40 copies of a photobraph of Turij Gagarin displayed at the Soviet book exhibit in Brooklyn were picked up by several AECASSOWARY operatives and after the back of the photograph was hand-stanged with a message to the effect that eventile commonants prefer more freedom and butter to sputniks (see Attachment A), the photographs were replaced on the exhibit table for visitors to take. People were seen taking the photographs and reading the hand-stanged message. The following day, the Soviets had removed all sample peoplets and photos from the display tables and were seen personally handing them (minus the hand-stanged message) to individuals with whom they conversed.

A note expressing regret for the lack of non-Russian books at the exhibit was attached to an inside page of a USER-Technical Books pumphlet and distributed in a way similar to the Gagarin photographs. The note was attached to 212 copies of the pumphlet (See Attachment B). (See Attachment D for personality information on Soviets at the Technical book exhibit.

7. Seventy-two copies of a letter addressed to "Dear Countrymen" (See Attachment C) were mailed to the home addresses of Soviet citizens employed by official Soviet installations in New York and Washington, D.C. A smaller number of a similar letter will be mailed from Austrialia to Soviet citizens in Japan. A final report has not yet been compiled to the results of this campaign.

Country

-LAPCA/E